The Development of General Principles of Good Governance Through Decisions of State Administrative Court

by Dr. Eny Kusdarini, M. Hum

ABSTRACT

The objective of this research is to study, analyze, and describe the development of general principles of good governance through decisions of state administrative judges and the views of judges especially supreme judges on the development of general principles of good governance.

This research employed a legal research method with a statute and case approach. The research location is the office of the Supreme Court in Jakarta which intends to find, review and analyze the development of the general principles of good governance concept through the state administrative court by the judges of the state administrative court as well as the view of the Chief Justice regarding the development of general principles of good governance through the judiciary in order to be made jurisprudence. The research samples include court decisions that have permanent legal force taken randomly. The resource person in this study was Dr. Irfan Fakhrudin, the Chief Justice of the Administrative Room of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia.

The research findings show that the judges in the State Administrative Court have carried out the development of general principles of good governance through the principles of law, theories and experts views, and universal values. In addition, the research results indicate that advocates have a very important role in the formulation of general principles of good governance. The development of general principles of good governance through this state administrative court can be seen from the example of the Bandung Administrative Court Decision No. 42 / G / 2017 / PTUN.BDG, Decision of the Supreme Court No.103 / K / TUN / 2010, Decision of the Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia, and Decision No. 1 / P / PAP / 2018, Palembang PTUN Decision, Decision No. 14 / G / 2015 / PTUN-PLG.

Kata Kunci: General principles of good governance, state administrative court, judge's decision